

Richmond Times-Dispatch

DAILY-WEEKLY-SUNDAY.

Business Office... 115 E. Main Street
Maneuvering Bureau... 1102 Hull Street
Lynchburg Bureau... 109 N. Ryancourt Street
Petersburg Bureau... 215 Eighth Street

BY MAIL. One Six Three Cents
Postage Paid. Year. Mo. Mo. Mo.
Daily with Sunday... \$1.00 \$2.00 \$3.00
Daily without Sunday... \$1.00 \$2.00 \$3.00
Sunday edition only... \$1.00 \$2.00 \$3.00
Weekly (Wednesday)... \$1.00 \$2.00 \$3.00

By Times-Dispatch Carrier Delivery Service in Richmond (and suburbs), Manchester and Petersburg.

One Week
Daily with Sunday... 14 cents
Daily without Sunday... 10 cents
Sunday only... 5 cents

Entered January 7, 1903, at Richmond, Va., as second-class matter under act of Congress of March 3, 1879.

MONDAY, JUNE 20, 1910.

NEARING THE END.

Republican leaders are predicting that Congress will adjourn by the end of the week. Unforeseen complications may arise, and the Democrats may tie up the last of the appropriation bills, but otherwise Speaker Cannon will let the gavel fall by Saturday night and will declare the session adjourned until next December. Republicans, Progressives and Democrats will then hasten to their respective districts and will begin to explain how it all happened, and why the laws passed are no better than they are.

This Congress has not been a brilliant one. It was elected when the Republican wave was at its crest, and it contained all the old Republican leaders who were elected solely because they had been elected in 1906 and earlier. The "stand-patters" of the Presidential campaign were in control, and they dominated the elections. During the extra session, which inflicted the tariff on the country, these men were in the hey-day of their power, and showed a degree of insolence, the like of which America has never seen.

Still, this Congress has been historic and will probably be remembered when other and better sessions have been forgotten. Unless the signs of the times are all wrong, the Sixty-first Congress will be remembered, first of all, as the Congress which wrought the Republican downfall. When the Congress met in special session, to carry out that memorable "downward revision," the Republican leaders were supreme. Their will was law, and their will was inexorable. Yet when the long session of this Congress adjourned the Republican party was dispirited, broken and on the eve of collapse.

Again, the Sixty-first Congress will be remembered as the Congress in which the insurgent movement first took definite shape. Indeed, the domination of the stand-patters and the bare-faced perfidy of the Aldrich-Cannon ring made the insurgents. The latter have not been sincere, and they have not always deserved their name, but since the Iowa elections, the insurgents have taken heart, and can now claim respect as the approved representatives of the indignant West.

This Congress will likewise be remembered as a Congress in which the influence of the Executive has been most strongly felt. Mr. Taft was deceived by the Aldrich tariff and allowed himself to be overridden by the leaders in Congress, but with this exception, it must be admitted that he has exercised a very strong influence over Congress. He has not been spectacular in his methods, and he has not been perpetually in the limelight with a set of "policies," but he asked Congress for certain things, and he has got them. As told this morning in The Times-Dispatch, he requested five great laws of Congress—a readjustment of the powers of the Interstate Commerce Commission, new conservation measures, statehood for Arizona and New Mexico, postal savings banks and definite limitation on the use of injunctions. Before Congress adjourns this week, he will have signed all of these measures with the exception of the injunction act. This, we take it, is a new record of its kind, and will compare, for the Republicans at least, most favorably with the record of the previous Administration.

The fulfillment of the Republican campaign pledges, however, in the passage of four of the five laws requested by the President as the party programme, will not justify the Republicans in their appeal to the country this fall. Even if the four party laws were good laws—which they are not—they would not compensate for the tariff, and even if every jot and tittle of the Republican promises had been fulfilled—which they have not—the country would still feel as it does feel, and would reject the Republicans on account of the Aldrich tariff.

The evil will live, and in the campaign soon to open, the pet measures of the Administration will not aid the Republicans. The tariff is their damnation.

BACK TO FIRST PRINCIPLES.

We agree heartily with the Petersburg Index-Appel that nothing would so greatly aid in the work of restoring the Democratic party to power than a simple assertion in all district, State and National platforms of the fundamental principles of the party—the foundations upon which it first stood.

It might be necessary to dig away some of the debris that has been piled upon the foundations during the last fourteen years, but it ought to be a comparatively easy matter to get back to first principles, and we shall never win until we do. Briefly stated, these principles are: The State, sovereign in the administration of their own affairs; the Nation, the creature of the States, exercising its authority within the limits

clearly outlined in the Constitution; the reserved rights of the people to be exercised only by the people of the States; strict economy in the administration of our Federal affairs; a tariff for revenue only; equal rights to all, special privileges to none; the suppression of the mob spirit and the supremacy of the Law. There will be Presidential victories in these principles as long as the Government of the Constitution stands. In no other sign can we conquer.

THAT HOME-COMING WEEK.

A number of newspapers in the State speak with hearty approval of the suggestion made by The Times-Dispatch that we have a Home-Coming Week in Virginia during the month of August, when all ex-patriates can come back to the old home and make merry together. Farmville, we are told by the esteemed Herald, "will fling wide open its gates to the returning 'boys,' and so will every other hospitable town and neighborhood in the State." They will learn that Farmville has lost none of its wealth of hospitality, none of its charm as a social centre, none of the chivalry or beauty of other days, while growing in numbers and in wealth, and they will find that "there is but one Farmville." We can very well believe that; seen for the first time through sluices of rain, Farmville is very charming, and Farmville is typical of Virginia.

We have made the Home-Coming Week suggestion, and now some organization or other should arrange the programme and handle the business. Would this not be an excellent undertaking for the Chamber of Commerce? Dabney could do it, if the Chamber would put its seal upon the project. It might, for instance, invite representatives from all the trades bodies in the State and the railroads to a Home-Coming Week conference in Richmond early in July to make plans and establish communication with all the wanderers from Virginia who have gone into other States and countries.

The matter is so important that Governor Mann would doubtless issue a special proclamation, under the great seal of the State, inviting all Virginians to come back home for a week at least, so that they might see for themselves how the old State fares and what progress it has made in material prosperity since they went away to hunt fortune in other States and climes. The railroads would doubtless be willing to make rates for the event, and here, upon this sacred and historic soil, the children of Virginia would commune once more against the time when they shall be admitted to

The Lord's prayer for each good man is built exactly on the plan of Old Virginia.

LEGISLATIVE AND EXECUTIVE.

The extended and very able Norfolk Virginian-Pilot insists that it was entirely right in its view of the Harrison incident, now almost forgotten in the excitement of the last few days. It actually draws the Constitution on us with malice prepense, or words to that effect, to show that that old-fashioned instrument "clothes the constituency of each Congressional district with the right to select the Representative through whom its interests at the National Capital shall be voiced, and that instrument fails to set forth, either by expression or implication, that the choice of the constituency shall be limited to persons acceptable to the President."

The very same instrument further sets forth that the legislative and executive branches of the Government shall play golf on their respective links. It makes it the duty of the President "from time to time to give to the Congress information of the State of the Union, and recommend to their consideration such measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient"; but, unless we have overlooked the passage or section, it nowhere specifies that the Representative shall give information to the President touching any subject whatsoever, either as to the State of the Union or shall recommend to his consideration any measure which the Representative may judge necessary and expedient.

The business of the Representative is to legislate, to originate revenue measures, to provide the ways and means of keeping the National machine in working condition. It is no part of his business to "introduce" people to the President.

WAS JACKSON A POET?

A Baltimore man, in looking over an old scrapbook the other day found a clipping from a Washington paper of February 4, 1836. It contained a poem entitled "To My Departed Father," which the editor, in a very laudatory introduction, attributed to General "Stonewall" Jackson. He said: "Had the great warrior written but this poem alone it would have stamped him as a genuine poet, and proved to the world that men of the finest sensibilities are capable of great personal bravery, and can wield a sublimer influence on the battle field than those who are prompted to action by mere animal courage."

The poem in question is very good, indeed, full of a deep sentiment and worthy of that great heart which all men know belonged to the Hero of the Valley campaign. Some of its phrases are almost brilliant, and its concluding stanzas are especially good. They read as follows:

I thought while countless ages fled
Thy vacant chair would vacant stand,
Unworn thy hat, thy book unread,
Reflected forlornly on the wall;
And widowed in this cheerless world,
The heart that gave its love to thee,
Torn like the vine whose tendrils curled
More closely round the gallows tree.
O father! then for her and thee
Gushed madly forth the scalding tears,
And oft and long, and bitterly,
Thy name was hushed in later years;
For as the world grew old and grand,
And things assumed their own real hue,
Torn like the vine whose tendrils curled
More closely round the gallows tree.

is concerned, and he is known to have written at least one other poem, but did he write this one? We must confess that we doubt it very seriously, not only because the poem was never attributed to Jackson during his lifetime, but because there are a number of doubtful references in the poem itself. For instance, the writer in recalling his father says:

I see a form in yonder chair,
That grows beneath the fading light,
There are the wan, and features there
The pallid brow and locks of white.

This would all be very true to life, but for the fact that Jackson was left an orphan at seven years of age and went to live with his uncle. A boy of seven would hardly have observed these things. Then, again, if we recollect, his father was not an old man with "pallid brow and locks of white." Jackson had the heart of a poet, and the heart of a prophet. He thought much of the things that were beautiful and the things that were eternal, and in his biography, as written by his wife, the kindly, gentle soul of one who loved little children and loved the world of Nature is fully revealed. Yet Jackson's claims as a man who could put these thoughts into words, at least into these particular words, must rest on some surer proof than this chance clipping.

LORIMER.

The Senate has determined to investigate Senator Lorimer. This is what the Senator demanded, in a sense, although it would seem that the scope of the investigation is to be a good deal broader than he asked for. The Senate Committee on Audit and Control of Contingent Expenses has agreed to provide the ways and means, and it is said that the resolution will be passed to-day empowering a subcommittee of the Senate Committee on Privileges and Elections to "sit during the session of the Senate and during any recess of the Senate or of Congress, to hold its session at such place or places as it shall deem most convenient for the purposes of the investigation, to employ a stenographer, to send for persons and papers and to administer oaths."

That looks like business, and it will be the fault of the subcommittee, which will consist of four Republicans and three Democrats, if it do not find out more about Lorimer and his ways than he told the Senate in his recent deed. It will also not the Chicago Tribune to its triumphs. It is the nefarious sponsor of the charges by which his enemies have hoped to smother the white-souled Senator from Illinois. Some of its witnesses may be sent to the penitentiary at Joliet for taking money to vote for Lorimer before the investigation is well under way; but following the example of President Taft in the case of Spitzer in the sugar frauds, Governor Deneen can make them eligible as witnesses before the committee. We would suggest that it might be as well for the subcommittee not to employ Kerby as its stenographer, as in a moment of patriotic fervor he might communicate with Lorimer's counsel or with Lorimer himself.

We congratulate Lorimer that his wish will be gratified, and that he will be investigated. That much is due him; but if he should find that his banking business in Chicago requires all his time he might ask for the discharge of the subcommittee on the ground that he does not care for his seat in the Senate anyhow, and has always been thinking that he could not neglect his private business for the sake of rendering public service.

A MINSTREL SHOW IN THE SENATE.

They had a minstrel show in the Senate Saturday. In other words, Jeffries Davis had the floor, and, as usual, amused those of his colleagues whom he did not disgust, and made those who did not seek fresh air while he was addressing the Senators. Davis was speaking on his own motion to discharge the Finance Committee from further consideration of his bill prohibiting the issuance of Federal liquor licenses in prohibition territory. Davis made this motion, he said, not because he wanted to withdraw his bill, but because he knew the Finance Committee would not approve it. As soon as the Senate found out what Davis wanted, it, of course, left the bill with the Finance Committee, but it had a warm time before the vote.

Davis advanced two arguments in behalf of his motion—one that the measure should be passed on principle, and the other that the Finance Committee would not pass it. The bill ought to be passed, he said, because liquor could be had everywhere in every prohibition State, and with special ease in Tennessee. In fact, Davis seemed to have it in for Tennessee, and he paid his respects to everybody and everything in that unhappy State. Describing a visit to Memphis, he said: "When I got off the train, what did I see? There was a nigger ringing a bell, shouting to the passengers, 'Here's your whiskey, 15 cents a glass, hot tamales and everything good to eat.' Jeffries did not state whether or not he tried the liquor, but he swore by all the gods that if he were Governor of Tennessee he would stop the illicit sale of the stuff if he had to declare martial law. There were 3,000 open saloons in the city of Memphis alone, he said, debauching that city and ruining its morals."

By this time Jeffries was in a weaving way, and he made the wool fly. A few retorts from some of the more conservative Senators only excited him the more. He blackguarded the Finance Committee, and he accused his colleagues of every crime on the statute books, concluding that it was time for Congress to wake up and do something for the morals of the people. When he sat down, everybody who had remained to hear him was relieved, and

Jeffries was wreathed in smiles. He had not accomplished what he started out to do, of course, but he had "spoke his mind," and felt much better.

It is a great pity for the prohibitionists that their cause in the Senate has to be left to such a man as Davis. He never accomplishes anything for anybody, and he has the rarest genius of angering everybody whom he opposes. He can take the gravest topic, involving the most important issues, and can argue it and abuse the men who oppose it until every one is willing and anxious to vote against him in sheer self-defence. If the prohibition people ever want their laws approved, we would respectfully suggest that they choose another champion. Some names guarantee defeat, and Davis's is one of them.

CRITICIZING THE KAISER.

Traditional respect for their ruler has not kept the progressive German editors from speaking their mind about the Kaiser's recent utterances in England. Some of the most important papers in the provinces and powerful organs in the Kaiser's own Capital are criticizing him very freely for declaring in England, while he was attending the King's funeral, that there was no cause for war between the people over whom Edward had ruled and his own subjects. Deferentially, of course, but positively, the editors of the Pan-German school have told the Kaiser that he is not the German nation, and that when he talked with Monsieur Pichon in England he was not speaking as the German people, but as an individual German citizen. Wilhelm is given to understand that his people are not averse to trouble, and that if England wants war she can get it.

There is something very significant about this. In the first place, this is one of the few cases in recent years when the German editors, even of the radical school, have spoken in open opposition to their ruler. Generally, when they did not approve they held their tongues, and when they could not applaud they dared not hiss. In this case, however, their very boldness indicates their assurance and shows in a measure how far Germany has progressed during recent years. When the press is free the people are free, and when German editors can speak their minds changes in the Constitution are inevitable.

Besides, this widespread criticism of the Emperor on a question of foreign policy indicates the depth of the feeling against England in Germany. It is bad enough to disagree with the Kaiser, but it is still worse to boldly declare hostility to England and to express a desire for war. Who knows but that the editors are right and the Kaiser wrong, and who can say that the Germans are not as willing to fight as the English fear they are prepared to fight?

THE TRIBUNE AND SOUTHERN MONUMENTS.

The New York Tribune did not always put the olive on its brow and the balm of courtesy on its lips in speaking about the South. It did not search its vocabulary in other days for compliments nor did it run the gamut to sound a generous note in behalf of the people who fought for the South. In fact, it has not been very long, at least to those who read history, since the New York Tribune was the incarnation of everything hostile to the South. Its founder and most famous proprietor, Horace Greeley, was by no means just to the South, and though he expiated his earlier crimes against the Constitution by his friendship for Mr. Davis and by his generosity in post bellum days, his newspaper was never known as a friend to the South.

It is all the more interesting and all the more pleasing, therefore, to see a new spirit dominating the Tribune, a spirit that bespeaks generosity to the South and a just regard for the history of this part of the country. In commenting on the memorial unveiled at Johnson's Island in memory of the Confederate prisoners of war who died there, the Tribune now has this to say: "As memories of the sufferings of the war and of the passions which they engendered recede, the North and the South can do more justice to each other's sincerity and heroic endurance. Each section can welcome the plaiding on its soil of memorials to those who fought in a rival cause. They do credit to a common sense of devotion and commemorate incidents and events which belong to our common history."

To all of this we say "amen." There was sorrow enough and suffering enough and passion enough during the war and during the still darker days of Reconstruction without reviving any of it in this day and time. We can reverence our own heroes and we can believe as firmly as we ever believed in the justice of our cause and can still regard without bitterness the men who fought against us. We can cherish our own altars and worship at our own shrines and can yet remember that the other side has its Laredo and Penates which it loves and reveres.

The South has in recent years gladly welcomed Northern visitors who came on pilgrimages of love to unveil monuments to their dead comrades, to erect memorials to brave men who fell on Southern fields and to revisit the scenes of their own heroisms. There are Northern monuments on practically every Southern field and the Southern people who gaze at them know that they are worthy monuments to honorable foes.

We agree with our contemporary that monuments should be erected on

the sites of the old Federal prisons to the men who died there, just as it would be eminently fitting and proper to put monuments at Andersonville or Belle Isle and at like spots where brave men died in prison, because their Commanding General said they were serving their country as faithfully there as when they fought on the field of battle. There was a horror about the prison camps, a horror that brings home perhaps more forcibly than the stories of any of the great battles the awfulness of war. As a proof of this, the Tribune says that of more than 10,000 men confined at Johnson's Island 220 died during the whole course of the war. We think this is a mistake, though Johnson's Island was by no means the worst of the Northern prisons. Point Lookout was worse, perhaps, and Elmira was certainly a charnel-house. In all of these prisons Confederate soldiers died like sheep in a pen and were buried with only their imprisoned comrades to follow them to the grave. They did not have the glory of battle upon them as they died, there were no great charges, no soul stirring calls to duty. All was grim and dark, and lonely.

As they died, so died thousands of Federal prisoners in Southern camps. As we honor our dead, so should the North honor its dead. As a generous South pays respect to monuments erected to the Northern dead, so should the North bow at the graves of the Southern dead.

In discussing "the courtesy of the Senate," the Roanoke Times says that one of the laws in this scheme of things is that "no Senator will vote to confirm a Federal appointment in a State when it is declared by a Senator from that State to be personally objectionable to him." This is the rule, but it does not always hold. The Times will recollect the case of Dr. Crum, who was confirmed by the Senate, notwithstanding Senator Tillman's hard fight against his confirmation and the better sense of the opposition Senators, who were absolutely whipped into submission by the Executive lash. This was, however, a single instance that proved the rule.

Representative Rucker, of Missouri, made a speech in the House on Saturday, in which he upbraided the Regulars for seducing and deceiving the insurgents and Democrats in disposing of one feature of the Rules. In the course of his speech he quoted four verses of a poem which he had read in his youth. The first two lines of the first verse are quite enough for the purpose of illustration: "She has a bosom as white as snow, Take care," etc., etc.

Rucker ought to be a little more careful in his use of descriptive passages from the muses. We have always understood in this part of the country that the bosom of the Republican party is black.

It is hoped that the reporters in New York will keep a sharp lookout for George Harvey, who has just returned home from England, or will get back next week, and Henry Watterson, who is going to put up at the Manhattan Club for several weeks.

Arthur Jones has taken the stump for Cone Johnson. Last Monday he spoke at Thornton in the afternoon and at Groesbeck in the evening. Tuesday he made things hum at Blooming Grove and at night he split the welkin at Frost. Last night he was rolling up a majority for "The People's Candidate" at Granbury; this afternoon he will give the voters of Tolar something to think about and to-night at Proctor he will show the electorate how they can help to save the State. His other appointments for the current week will take Arthur to Hasso, Blanket, Comanche, Dublin, Clyde and Baird, and everywhere Arthur goes the lambs are sure to follow. We are sorry that Cone's official organ, the Houston Post, has not sent its little flower girls all dressed in white along with Arthur. That would have made Arthur's record-breaking trip one long sweet song.

As the special correspondents at Cheefoo used to say, this statement has been "delayed in transmission"; but it contains so much cheering news that it is worth printing for the encouragement of the mollicoddies, who do not appreciate a good thing when they see it.

Some of the girls are still chewing gum in the street cars. If they could only see how they look when they are doing it, they would quit.

Senator Bulkeley was entertained by some of his friends at a dinner in the Putnam Inn one night last week. The arrangements for the dinner were managed by the sheriff of the county. There was a special fitness in this; for the voters of the Senator's party in Connecticut would find by the official records that the Senator is liable to arrest for political, or Senatorial, vagrancy; that is to say he has not done enough work at Washington to exempt him from apprehension for having no visible means of support.

By the way, we wish our Connecticut friend of the Hartford Courant, the same being the Hon. Charles Hopkins Clark, would tell us how the Senatorial election stands in Connecticut. The Virginia end of the campaign is all right; but we can't elect McLean all by ourselves. What we want to know is, is the Courant "for us or agin us?"

There was a case at Spartanburg last week which seemed to justify the attention of the mob, if ever the mob can be justified, which we do not admit, but, on the contrary, deny. The person in this case was white and the victim colored. The law is taking its course, as it always should do; but when the Court is held we shall find our how fair the law is.

Daily Queries and Answers

Address all communications for this column to Query Editor, Times-Dispatch. No mathematical problems will be solved, no coins or stamps valued and no dealers' names will be given.

Per Capita. What is the per capita money supply of the United States? READER. \$33.75.

William Henry Vanderbilt. Who was William Henry Vanderbilt's father, and whom did he marry? A READER. William Henry Vanderbilt was the son of Cornelius Vanderbilt and Sophia Johnson. He married Maria Louise Klatsam.

The Motto of Arizona. What is the motto of the State of Arizona? CITIZEN. "Fountain of God."

National Council of Fine Arts. Please tell me when Mr. Roosevelt founded the National Council of Fine Arts. A SUBSCRIBER. January, 1909. The council never met.

United States Pensioners. How many pensioners are there on the United States rolls? A DAILY READER. About 550,000.

Labor Conditions in Oklahoma. To whom should I write for information regarding labor conditions in Oklahoma? COUNTRYMAN. Charles L. Daugherty, Guthrie, Oklahoma.

Helena M. Jackson. Kindly give me a brief sketch of Helena M. Jackson. ANXIOUS. The following is from Appleton's "Cyclopedia of American Biography": "Author: born in Amherst, Mass., 18 October, 1831; died in San Francisco, 12 August, 1893. She was the daughter of Nathan W. Fiske, of Amherst, and was educated at the Ipswich, Mass., Female Seminary. In October, 1852, she married Captain Edward B. Hunt. She had become known as a contributor to periodical literature under the signature of H. M. Jackson. In October, 1875, she married William S. Jackson, and thereafter spent much of her time in Colorado Springs, where her husband was a banker. She became actively interested in the treatment of the Indians by the United States government in 1873, and strove to better the conditions of the race. In 1883 she was appointed special commissioner to examine into the condition of the Indians of California, and while thus engaged she studied the history of the early Spanish missions. From her deathbed she wrote to the President a pathetic appeal with reference to 'righting the wrongs of the Indian race.' Her published works include: 'Bits of Travel' (1872); 'Bits of Talk About Home Matters' (1873); 'Bits of Talk for Young People' (1875); 'Bits of Travel' (1875); 'Nelly's Silver Mine' (1878); 'The Story of Boon' (1879); 'Letters from a Girl' (1880); 'A Century of Stories' (1881); 'The Indians' (New York, 1881); 'Mamma Tittieback and Her Family' (1881); 'The Training of Children' (1882); 'The Future of the Nation' (1883); 'Ramona' (1884); 'Zeph' (1886); 'Glimpses of Three Castles' (1886); 'Sonnets and Lyrics' (1886); 'Between Whims and Reality' (1886); 'Merely Philanthropy's Choice' (1875); and 'Hetty's Strange History' (1877); contributed to the New York Herald and other papers. Her stories published under the pen name of Saxe Holm have been attributed to her."

Herschel V. Johnson. Can you tell me something about Herschel V. Johnson, a Georgia statesman, who was born in Burke county, Ga., September 18, 1812. He was a graduate of the University of Georgia in 1834, studied law, and practiced in Augusta, Ga., till 1839, when he removed to the warrent of this city. In 1840 he entered politics as a Democrat, and in 1844 he removed to Milledgeville, serving also in that year as president of the Georgia Democratic Association. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which office he occupied until his nomination as Governor in 1853, when he resigned. He was subsequently appointed United States Senator in place of Walter T. Colquhoun, resigned, serving from February 16, 1848, to March 3, 1849. In November of the latter year he was elected by the Legislature of Georgia judge of the Superior Court for the Georgia District, which